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Abstract: This commentary extends Wiebers & Feigin’s (2020) plea to adopt diets that are less dependent on animals by calling on experts and activists to work for change with regard to farm worker labor conditions. Already doing among the most dangerous jobs, farmworkers are at increased risk of COVID-19. As we increasingly transition to plant-based diets, we must all ensure that farmworkers have safe and just working conditions to meet the demands of our changing diets.
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In late 2019 and early 2020, as the threat of COVID-19 began to spread, people around the world have had to reconsider their relationships with other living beings. Now, Wiebers & Feigin (2020) (W&F) argue in their important and cautionary target article that this reconsideration must extend to our relationship with (non-human) animals as sources of food. With 75% of new infectious diseases stemming in part from our dependence on animals as resources, with the industrialized farming of animals contributing to the destruction of the environment, and with factory farms being inhumane to animals and workers alike (Human Rights Watch, 2005), it is time that humans “rapidly evolve to eating other forms of protein that are safer for humans,” such as plant-based agriculture, as W&F urge.

Fortunately, it appears that people are already paying attention to what COVID-19 is telling humanity. According to the Plant-Based Food Association (PBFA, 2020), during the early weeks of the pandemic after the peak buying of food and toiletries subsided, plant-based food sales continued to grow at a rate of 27%, with tofu sales up by nearly 33% (Zimberoff, 2020). Not only will this shift toward plant-based foods help to reduce the spread of zoonotic diseases; it will also mark an important step toward reducing the deleterious impact of animal agriculture on our environment; and it will diminish the unnecessary suffering and slaughter of animals on factory farms. Yet as we continue the necessary work to reduce the global dependence on animals for food and take comfort in the fact that we are replacing them with plant agriculture, we must be cognizant of a rather disheartening fact: The farmworkers who harvest our crops are
among the populations at highest risk for contracting the COVID-19 virus (Tuiran and Roberts, 2020).

According to the U.S. Centers for Disease Control (CDC, 2019), farm work is among the occupations with the highest fatal and nonfatal injury rates. The U.S. Occupational Safety and Health Administration (OSHA) identifies several work-related hazards linked to farmworkers – including pesticide and chemical exposure, nausea, dizziness, respiratory distress, urinary infections stemming from urine retention and lack of potable water, and other diseases as a result of poor toilet or bathroom facilities (OSHA, 2020). Heat-related illnesses are particularly common among farmworkers. With shifts lasting between eight and fourteen hours in the sun with minimal access to shade or water, the heat-related death rate for field-hands is nearly twenty-times greater for crop workers than for workers in other occupations (CDC, 2008).

There are also sociopolitical factors that that magnify the impact of the on-the-job health risks on farmworkers. A large constituency of U.S. field-hands are undocumented workers (Food Empowerment Project, 2020). Wages are therefore low, and workers have limited capacity to negotiate for better wages or working conditions, not to mention access to health care. It is also the case that females, who comprise roughly 28% of the farmworker labor force (OSHA, 2020), are vulnerable to sexual harassment on the job; and they are often forced to sleep with their supervisors to maintain their jobs in the field (ACLU, 2007).

It is clear, then, that under non-pandemic circumstances the on-the-ground conditions for farmworkers are already dangerous, – if not deadly. The threat of the Coronavirus exposes more farmworker vulnerabilities. Housing conditions for farm workers are poor. Many workers live in crowded, poorly ventilated trailers that house up to ten people (Wiltz, 2016). Farm workers (and rural communities in general) are also less likely to have access to doctors and hospital beds to treat the deadly Coronavirus. All of this explains new data revealing that farmworkers are three times more likely to contract the virus than non-agricultural workers (Villarejo, 2020).

The global community is thus confronted with a dilemma. It is clear that as COVID-19 continues to spread, people are waking up to the realization that our dependence on animals for food and other resources is dangerous to global health. At the same time, as we shift toward a plant-based diet, we increase our dependence on an industry that places the health of the most vulnerable workers at risk – including a risk of contracting the Coronavirus. It is incumbent upon all of us, then, that as we advocate for a transition to plant-based diets we do so ethically and humanely by working to improve labor conditions for farmworkers. The scientific and academic communities must work with labor organizers and consumers to ensure that the most vulnerable (human) members of the food production line receive protections – not only during this pandemic, but ubiquitously.
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