DURING THE NINETEENTH CENTURY, AMERICAN TRAIN shots at bison. Today most Americans would consider such wanton slaughter of bison utterly re­ to permit contests and other events that feature killing contests for “fun.”

In present-day killing contests—usually organized, as a fundraiser, by a local gun club or other private organization—participants receive points and prizes worth up to $10,000 for killing animals. In some contests thousands of animals are killed each year: at Naqua, Colorado’s Top Dog World Championship Prairie Dog Contest, 3,000–4,000 prairie dogs; at Labor Day pigeon-shooting events in and around Hegin’s, Pennsylvania, approximately 13,000 pigeons; at the Sweetwater, Texas, Jaycees Sweetwater Rattlesnake Roundup, an average 18,000 rattlesnakes. Onlookers cheer as the prairie dogs, pecking out from their holes, or the pigeons, just released from their cages and only beginning to fly upward, are shot.

Clearly some people consider such events harmless entertainment; they are often promoted as family events, and children may be required to sign up and be taught to participate in the killing. During pigeon shoots such as the one held in Hegins, children are employed to ring the necks of birds whom contestants fail to kill cleanly.

In our view, blood-sport contests represent callous cruelty, teaching indifference to suffering and pleasure in destruction. These festivals of cruelty represent an unjustified contempt for animals branded as “varmints” or “pests.”

Misguided wildlife “management” policies reflect the same unjust and irrational attitude—that animals of some species may be killed and brutally destroyed because they are “undesirable” or because their destruction will supposedly benefit mem­ bers of more valued species. Many western ranchers have long persecuted coyotes, rattlesnakes, prairie dogs, porcupines, and oth­ er so-called varmints as threats to humans and livestock. Conse­ quently, the government, ranchers, and some hunters have felt jus­ tification in their efforts to annihilate these species in the name of protecting ranching interests.

Coyotes have routinely been hunted, trapped, and poisoned. Although most coyotes do not prey on sheep, the mere possibility of a coyote doing so has provoked countless senseless and cruel pro­ grams aimed at killing coyotes. (The same irrational behavior led to the near-extinction of wolves and grizzly bears in the forty­ eight contiguous states.) Because of run-ins between porcupines and cattle or sheep, many ranchers dislike porcupines as well. Some prairie dogs are regularly poisoned or shot. Since 1910 their population size has been reduced by over 90 percent, through habitat loss to “development” and through ranchers’ efforts to de­ stroy them. Ranchers contend that prairie dogs ruin grasslands and compete with livestock for food, but prairie dogs—unlike cat­ tle and sheep—are native to western grasslands. They play a cruci­ al role in preserving their ecosystem. Indirectly the slaughter of thousands of prairie dogs has led to the near-annihilation of black-footed ferrets, who feed almost exclusively on prairie dogs and their burrows.

Since colonial times rattlesnakes have been persecuted throughout the United States for reasons stemming as much from superstition and tradition as from any purported threat to humans or livestock. Despite our society’s growing appreciation for wildlife, and our knowledge that rattlesnakes are important to their ecosystems—for example, in controlling the number of ro­ dents—they are more likely to be persecuted than protected.

Rattlesnake roundup events now occur throughout a wide geographic area of the southern United States. Organizers of these events contend that the contests do not deplete the snake popula­ tions, citing the consistently high number of snakes captured each year. However, scientists believe these high numbers may re­ sult from increased numbers of participants and a larger hunt area rather than from rattlesnake-population stability. In any case, snake hunters employ an extremely cruel method: in order to drive snakes to the surface, the hunters gas their dens. Philoso­ pher Jack Weiss, Ph.D., of Morehead State University, has com­ mended that snake-hunting techniques be banned in forests with only one exit and then shooting the deer as they try to escape.

Like so many other killing contests, rattlesnake roundups harm the environment. While seeking snakes, hunters upset the natu­ ral habitat of lizards, rodents, and many other animals as well; this, in addition to the harmful effects of gassing snake dens, may make many dens unsuitable for use by future desert dwellers. Gassing dens also kills many desert animals other than rat­ tlesnakes. A rattlesnake “control” officer in South Dakota has noted that for every 100 rattlesnakes killed by poisoning of their dens, 40 snakes of other species are also killed.

Killing contests damage the environment, needlessly cause an­ imals to suffer and die, and encourage an acceptance of destruc­ tion and brutality. In 1984 Nevada’s assistant attorney general wrote an opinion stating that, while the state is permitted to kill pigeons in certain circumstances (for example, those in which the birds are considered pests), the intentional mutilation and injury inflicted on pigeons during shoots is unjustified. Several states—including Idaho, Massachusetts, New Jersey, and Ohio—have specific provisions in their animal-cruelty statutes that pertain to pigeon shoots. In New Jersey, for example, it is a misdemeanor to “use a live pigeon, fowl or other bird for the pur­ pose of a target, or to be shot at either for amusement or as a test of skill in marksmanship,” or to shoot at a bird at an organized event. Michigan accords broader protection; there, it is illegal to use any animal for target shooting.

In many cases, however, state animal-cruelty statutes—intend­ ed to protect animals from abuse, neglect, and wanton destruction—have not been applied to killing contests. Although Colorado’s anti-cruelty statute declares it unlawful for any person to needlessly mutilate or kill an animal—and although the court determined in the 1996 case Waters v People that shooting captive doves for the purpose of improving marksmanship, for sport, or for amusement is unjustified under the statute—Colorado officials have failed to halt the Top Dog prairie dog shoot.

Because killing contests continue despite state anti-cruelty statutes, many people have sought to end these events through the public pressure of protest demonstrations. Unfortunately some of these efforts have backfired. During a recent Top Dog prairie dog shoot, for example, protest commotion apparently prompted more prairie dogs to emerge from their holes in order to investigate. The prairie dogs were then easy targets. Protest demonstrations may also increase event publicity, attracting more people as con­ test participants or spectators.

How, then, can we stop killing contests? Citizens should in­ form their state legislators of their objections, in order to prompt more effective enforcement of existing anti-cruelty laws and the creation of new laws. In April HSUS President Paul G. Irwin wrote a letter on behalf of our members to Governor Mark Raci­ cot of Montana alerting him to a pigeon-hunting contest. Mr. Irwin expressed our concerns about the hunt’s brutality, the mes­ sage that acceptance of such brutality conveys to society, and the hunt’s potentially detrimental effects on the pigeon population. Following our protest, the pigeon-killing contest was canceled.

In 1991 the San Juan Coyote Hunt was proposed as an enter­ taining way of killing coyotes whom, it was believed, reduce the number of deer available as hunters’ targets. Prizes would have gone to those who killed the most coyotes. The HSUS urged our members to protest against the hunt and express concerns about the “management” practice of increasing one “game” species at another’s expense. As a result of public pressure, the hunt was canceled.

Currently The HSUS is also examining ways in which federal legislation might be used to ban pigeon shoots and other forms of “hunting” of captive animals. We believe that killing contests epitomize human abuse of animals and the environment—and should be banned.

Betsy Bird is HSUS research associate, Wildlife and Habitat Pro­ tection.