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ABSTRACT
Fish have become a popular experimental model and companion animal, and are also farmed and caught for food. Thus, surgical and invasive procedures in this animal group are common, and this review will focus on the anesthesia and analgesia of fish. A variety of anesthetic agents are commonly applied to fish via immersion. Correct dosing can result in effective anesthesia for acute procedures as well as loss of consciousness for surgical interventions. Dose and anesthetic agent vary between species of fish and are further confounded by a variety of physiological parameters (e.g., body weight, physiological stress) as well as environmental conditions (e.g., water temperature). Combination anesthesia, where 2 anesthetic agents are used, has been effective for fish but is not routinely used because of a lack of experimental validation. Analgesia is a relatively underexplored issue in regards to fish medicine. However, recent studies have investigated opioid agents, nonsteroidal anti-inflammatory drugs, and local anesthetics to determine their efficacy in minimizing pain and discomfort. The opioid morphine and the local anesthetic lidocaine do have significant effectiveness in reducing pain-related responses in rainbow trout (Oncorhynchus mykiss). Studies aimed at developing reliable analgesic protocols should explore a wide range of analgesic drug classes in several fish species.

Recent research studies have suggested that fish are capable of nociception or pain perception. These investigations have demonstrated that bony (teleost) fish have nociceptrors, receptors to detect potentially painful stimuli (Fig 1), which are very similar to those found in mammals.1-6 A variety of species also exhibit adverse behavioral and physiological responses to a potentially painful event7-12 that are ameliorated by the use of analgesia (Fig 2).13,14 Therefore, it would seem prudent to reduce any pain and discomfort during surgical interventions or when tissue damage has or is likely to occur. Anesthetic drugs have historically been used on fish in aquaculture, experimentation, and veterinary practice.15 Their use reduces the stressful impacts of handling, especially during routine procedures such as weighing, vaccination, blood sampling, tagging, experimental surgery, and veterinary procedures. Therefore, light anesthesia or sedation can improve the efficiency of rapid procedures. In invasive procedures in which tissue damage will occur, full or deep anesthesia or loss of consciousness is used to improve fish welfare and minimize the pain associated with surgical intervention.16-19 Anesthetic administration is usually achieved via immersion, with the drug being dissolved in water where the fish is held or an anesthetic vessel such as a tank or large bucket (also termed inhalation). When induction takes place through immersion, it is paramount to observe the fish and gauge the depth of anesthesia to reduce the incidence
of overdose. Uptake of these chemicals is primarily via the gills and possibly the skin. The level of anesthesia is monitored by recording gill ventilation rates, maintenance of equilibrium (upright position), and reflex responses (e.g., swimming response to tail pinch). Research studies have measured rates of induction and recovery, responsiveness to external stimuli and handling, as well as pharmacokinetics of these agents. However, these research investigations often focus on a small range of species, testing only one anesthetic agent or a range of drugs on one species.

Analgesia has received much less attention, especially before 2003; historically, fish were thought to be incapable of nociception or pain. However, studies are now trying to show the efficacy of analgesic medication in reducing or ameliorating any detrimental changes in behavior and physiology as a result of damage or noxious stimulation. This article will focus on what is known regarding anesthesia (primarily immersion) and analgesia in fish to provide an up-to-date account that can be referred to by scientists and veterinarians. Using the most efficient means of reducing stress and/or pain is crucial for the welfare of animals treated.

ANESTHESIA IN FISH

As with most other animals, induction and the depth of anesthesia in fish are generally divided into increasing stages or planes (Table 1). Monitoring of activity or swimming, posture, behavior, gill ventilation rate, eye movement, reflex responses, and heart rate is commonly done for fish; the degree of change desired will be dependent on the level of anesthesia required for a particular procedure. If induction is rapid, one can have difficulty differentiating one stage from another; therefore, use of the correct dose is particularly important to avoid overdose. For rapid and noninvasive procedures, light anesthesia can be sufficient (e.g., weighing, handling, inspection, gill scrape, external tagging). However, for invasive procedures and those of longer duration, surgical anesthesia is advised and may need to be accompanied by artificial ventilation of gills by flushing fresh or anesthetic-dosed water with a mouthpiece and pump when necessary. It is particularly important that the water is aerated and maintained at a similar temperature as its normal environment to avoid unnecessary stress to these poikilotherms. Hypoxia can elicit a stress response in fish, which may impede recovery after anesthesia. A hypoxic state can also occur if the gills are not fully irrigated, causing gill filaments to collapse and become ischemic. Indeed, all water-quality parameters should be identical to the fish’s normal tank water (e.g., pH, salinity, hardness), and ideally the water used for anesthesia should be obtained from the home tank or aquarium system to reduce stress. Other factors such as temperature, body weight, and fish condition influence a fish’s response to anesthesia.

TYPES OF ANESTHETIC AGENTS

A range of anesthetic agents are currently in use in laboratory, veterinary, and aquaculture contexts. The most common anesthetic drugs used in fish are MS-222 (Tricaine), benzocaine, isoeugenol, metomidate, 2-phenoxyethanol, and quinaldine. Experimental studies have explored the induction, recovery rate, and pharmacokinetics as well as undesirable or adverse side effects. However, these research investigations are limited to a relatively small number of species and caution should be applied when using any of these agents on a nonvalidated species. Fish are incredibly diverse, and a shift in water quality and environmental requirements can significantly influence the efficacy of an anesthetic agent. Therefore, low doses should be used initially with incremental increases until the most effective dose is achieved (Table 2). Although this review focuses on immersion anesthesia, injectable anesthetics are fairly common in larger species of fish.
FIGURE 1. (A) Nerves in the tailfin of common carp (Cyprinus carpio) (x200). 1: nerve bundle, 2: blood vessel, 3: lepidotrichial hemisegment. (B) Detail from the red box in (A) shows a transverse section of the interior of the lepidotrichia segment of the tailray showing 2 nerves (EM, scale bar=5µm). (C) Nerve fibers in tailfin of common carp (TEM, scale bar=500 nm). Both C-fibers (1) and 3 categories of A-fibers (2) are present within the nerve. Schwann cell (3) producing the myelin sheets around A-fibers. Black spots in the neurite neuroplasm represent microtubules. C-fibers and A-fibers act as nociceptive afferents (adapted from Roques et al, 2010). (D) Section of the maxillary branch of the trigeminal nerve of rainbow trout showing the presence of A-delta and C-fibers that may act as nociceptors (x1000, scale bar = 2 µm; adapted from Sneddon, 2002).
MS-222 and Benzocaine

This class of drugs is routinely used in clinical and veterinary medicine as topical analgesics. MS-222 (ethyl 3-aminobenzoate, tricaine methanesulphonate, metacaine) and benzocaine (ethyl 4-aminobenzoate) are the 2 most common anesthetic agents used in fish research studies and are also used in food fish production. Both drugs are approved for aquaculture use in several countries including the United States and Norway. These local anesthetics inhibit the initiation and propagation of action potentials by blocking voltage-sensitive sodium channels. Administration of MS-222 and benzocaine is usually via immersion, entering the body via gill uptake and producing anesthesia by impeding neuronal signal transmission peripherally to the central nervous system. In fish, the precise action of these agents is not fully known. Benzocaine is structurally similar to the chemical composition of MS-222; however, this agent must first be dissolved in an organic solvent (usually ethanol), whereas MS-222 is soluble in water but has an acidic pH that requires addition of a buffer (i.e., sodium bicarbonate is usually added to obtain the desired pH). Dosages of both drugs vary between species. For example, in Atlantic salmon (Salmo salar) MS-222 is administered at 65 mg/L, as is benzocaine, whereas in halibut (Hippoglossus hippoglossus), MS-222 is given at 80 mg/L and benzocaine at 40 mg/L; and in cod (Gadus morhua) MS-222 and benzocaine are administered at 60 mg/L and 25 mg/L, respectively. Increased heart rate and respiration are observed in the initial phase of anesthesia using these drugs, as well as hyperglycemia, and are followed by a depression of heart rate and ventilation. Side effects include hypoxemia, hypoglycemia, and increased levels of lactic acid, suggesting a reliance on anaerobic metabolism, increased hematocrit, and hemoglobin values, as well as erythrocyte swelling. Plasma catecholamine values increase in some species, suggesting a possible stress response.

Metomidate

Metomidate hydrochloride (methyl 3-[1-phenylethyl] imidazole-4-carboxylate hydrochloride) is a nonbarbiturate hypnotic that activates and modulates inhibitory gamma-aminobutyric acid type A (GABAA) receptors and is a methyl analog of the imidazole derivative etomidate. This anesthetic agent is a centrally acting drug. Metomidate produces sedation and hypnosis in humans, 41 is a clinical and veterinary sedative, and affects adrenal steroidogenesis inhibiting production of cortisol, which has
been observed in fish.\textsuperscript{46,47} Deleterious effects of metomidate in fish are reduced respiration and circulation, subsequently leading to hypoxemia and reduced pH of the blood.\textsuperscript{21,30,33}

**TABLE 1.** Descriptions of the stages of anesthesia and the parameters used to monitor anesthesia in fish. A number of procedures are provided as examples of what can be done to the fish under these levels of anesthesia

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Stage</th>
<th>Plane</th>
<th>Level of Anesthesia</th>
<th>General Demeanor</th>
<th>Activity</th>
<th>Equilibrium</th>
<th>Gill Ventilation Rate</th>
<th>Reactivity</th>
<th>Heart Rate</th>
<th>Muscle Tone</th>
<th>Examples of Procedures</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td></td>
<td>Normal</td>
<td>Normal</td>
<td>Normal</td>
<td>Normal</td>
<td>Normal</td>
<td>Normal</td>
<td>Normal</td>
<td>Normal</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>I</td>
<td>Lightly sedated</td>
<td>Disoriented</td>
<td>Reduced</td>
<td>Normal</td>
<td>Normal</td>
<td>Normal</td>
<td>Normal</td>
<td>Normal</td>
<td>Normal</td>
<td>Weight; close visual inspection; external noninvasive tags, gill scrape</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>II</td>
<td>Excitation</td>
<td>Agitated</td>
<td>Increased</td>
<td>Difficulty</td>
<td>Increased</td>
<td>Increased</td>
<td>Increased</td>
<td>Normal</td>
<td>Normal</td>
<td>Invasive tags; tissue removal; injection; blood sampling; gill biopsy, lesion dressing, recovery surgery‡</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>III 1</td>
<td>Light anesthesia</td>
<td>Anesthetized</td>
<td>None</td>
<td>Loss</td>
<td>Decreased</td>
<td>Reflex responses†</td>
<td>Regular</td>
<td>Decreased</td>
<td></td>
<td>Non-recovery surgery‡</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>II</td>
<td>Surgical*</td>
<td>Anesthetized</td>
<td>None</td>
<td>Loss</td>
<td>Shallow</td>
<td>None</td>
<td>Reduced</td>
<td>Decreased</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>Deep</td>
<td>Anesthetized</td>
<td>None</td>
<td>Loss</td>
<td>Rare movements</td>
<td>None</td>
<td>Reduced</td>
<td>Relaxed</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IV</td>
<td>Overdose</td>
<td>Apparently dead</td>
<td>None</td>
<td>Loss</td>
<td>None</td>
<td>None</td>
<td>None</td>
<td>Cardiac failure</td>
<td>None</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Adapted from Bell, 1987\textsuperscript{92}; Burka et al, 1997\textsuperscript{48}; McFarland, 1959\textsuperscript{93}; McFarland and Klontz, 1969\textsuperscript{94}; Summerfelt and Smith, 1990\textsuperscript{19}.

*Some authors suggest there is an intermediate stage between light and surgical termed medium plane anesthesia.

†An example of a reflex response is the fish swimming in response to a tail pinch.

‡Usually accompanied by the use of artificial ventilation where the gills are irrigated with fresh or anesthetic dosed water.

---

**2-Phenoxyethanol**

2-Phenoxyethanol is commonly used as a preservative in vaccines, skin products, and perfumes. The precise mode of action of this anesthetic in fish is unknown, but it may involve an expansion of neuronal cell membranes\textsuperscript{48} and, therefore, may suppress activity in the central nervous system. Side effects include impaired ventilation, reduced cardiovascular responses, lowered blood O\(_2\), increased CO\(_2\), and reduced pH, as well as a possible stress response including higher concentrations of plasma adrenaline and glucose.\textsuperscript{33,49} 2-Phenoxyethanol also reduces immune function of the animal in which it is used.\textsuperscript{50}
TABLE 2. Summary of selected anesthetic agents used in fish showing the range of doses, used in a variety of species and the resultant side effects (see Neiffer and Stamper, 2009 for species-specific information and also the citations in text)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Anesthetic Agent</th>
<th>Dose (mg/L⁻¹)</th>
<th>Side Effects</th>
<th>Initial</th>
<th>Secondary</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>MS-222</td>
<td>50-400</td>
<td>Tachycardia</td>
<td>Decreased cardiovascular responses</td>
<td>Hypoglycemia</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Increased respiration</td>
<td></td>
<td>Increased lactate, hematocrit, and catecholamines</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Hyperglycemia</td>
<td></td>
<td>Erythrocyte swelling</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Benzocaine</td>
<td>25-150</td>
<td>Tachycardia</td>
<td>Decreased cardiovascular responses</td>
<td>Hypoglycemia</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Increased respiration</td>
<td></td>
<td>Increased lactate, hematocrit, and catecholamines</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Hyperglycemia</td>
<td></td>
<td>Erythrocyte swelling</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Suppressed immune function</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Clove oil</td>
<td>4-150</td>
<td></td>
<td>Decreased ventilation and cardiovascular responses</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Eugenol</td>
<td>20-200</td>
<td></td>
<td>Increased catecholamines and hematocrit</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Isoeugenol</td>
<td>3.6-120</td>
<td></td>
<td>Reduced adrenal steroid production leading to increased lactate, hematocrit and catecholamines</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Metomidate</td>
<td>0.06-10</td>
<td></td>
<td>Reduced cortisol</td>
<td>Reduced respiration, circulation, and pH of blood</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Hypoxemia</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2-Phenoxyethanol</td>
<td>0.25-600</td>
<td></td>
<td>Decreased ventilation rate, heart rate, blood pressure, and blood pH</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Increased adrenal hormones</td>
<td>Hyperglycemia</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Reduced immune function</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Quinaldine</td>
<td>10-50</td>
<td>Tachycardia</td>
<td>Decreased heart rate and respiratory function</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Quinaldine sulphate</td>
<td>5-100</td>
<td></td>
<td>Increased cortisol and serum immunoglobulin M</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

These doses are not appropriate for all species or under all conditions (e.g., temperature, body size, and physiological state must be investigated before use). When working with unfamiliar species or agents, use the lowest doses and low numbers of fish to test anesthetic efficacy.
**Isoeugenol**

Isoeugenol (2-methoxy-4-prop-1-enyl-phenol), a component of clove oil, is structurally similar to eugenol, a potent analgesic used in dentistry. This anesthetic agent impedes sodium, potassium, and calcium channels, inhibits N-methyl D-aspartate (NMDA) receptors, and potentiates GABA A receptors. Isoeugenol has become a commonly used fish anesthetic and is the active ingredient in Aqui-S (Aqui-S New Zealand LTD, Lower Hutt, New Zealand); it is also an approved drug in some countries for use in aquaculture. Detrimental side effects of isoeugenol include impaired ventilation and depression of the cardiovascular system, which result in slower heart rate, decreased cardiac output, and reduced blood pressure. Increased plasma catecholamines and increased hematocrit may indicate a stress response elicited by the use of this anesthetic agent.

**Quinaldine**

The quinoline family of compounds has antiseptic and antipyretic properties and is used in a variety of preparations, including antimalarial medicines. Quinaldine (2-methylquinoline) has been used to anesthetize fish for many years, but its mode of action is unknown. Initially, fish display a tachycardia; however, this is soon followed by bradycardia and impaired respiration. Elevated stress responses have also been recorded.

---

**FIGURE 3.** Mean induction time (s ± SE) of Atlantic cod (Gadus morhua) of body size 100 g and 1000 g anesthetized at 8°C and 16°C with benzocaine (BZ), MS-222 (MS222), metomidate (Met), and 2-phenoxyethanol (2-phe) administered individually. Induction time is defined as time from submersion in the anesthesia bath to total loss of equilibrium. For benzocaine (1000-8C not tested) and MS-222, induction times were found to increase with increasing body weight but not for metomidate or 2-phenoxyethanol. Induction rates were shorter at 16°C than at 8°C except for 2-phenoxyethanol in the 1000 g fish (adapted from Zahl et al, 200929).
ADMINISTRATION OF ANESTHETIC AGENTS

Generally, the anesthetic drugs discussed above are administered as a single agent in fish. However, in veterinary and clinical practice, combining agents with different properties provides a more complete anesthesia than one single drug alone. Complementary effects between the different agents can result in safer, lower doses. In some cases, induction and recovery are improved and adverse side effects are reduced. Combination anesthesia has been explored in fish. For example, MS-222 and quinaldine administered to rainbow trout (Onchorhynchus mykiss) and northern pike (Esox lucius) resulted in less mortality and adverse side effects. Quinaldine used with diazepam (dose range, 0.6-1.2 parts per million) lowered the dose of both agents when anesthetizing gilthead sea bream (Sparus aurata) and European sea bass (Dicentrarchus labrax). This drug combination also reduced the mortality rate and undesirable effects of quinaldine in the 2 fish species in which it was investigated. MS-222 immersion anesthesia combined with intraoperative injections of local analgesics has been used during surgical procedures in koi carp (Cyprinus carpio). Administration of butorphanol improved subsequent behavior and recovery, whereas ketoprofen reduced muscle damage; therefore, their use may be beneficial. This approach was also effective in Atlantic cod when they were administered a combination of metomidate and either benzocaine or MS-222. Recovery times were much faster with a combination of these agents than one alone. In halibut, combination anesthesia also allowed the dose of each anesthetic drug used to be reduced. Improvements in induction and recovery rates were dependent on body size, with smaller fish having quicker induction times but larger fish exhibiting a much faster recovery rate compared with the use of one agent. Thus, combination anesthesia may be safer because this allows a reduction in dose, which is generally reflected in better recovery and lower mortality rates along with reduced adverse side effects in some cases. More experimental studies are needed to develop reliable combination protocols on a greater variety of fish species.

FACTORS AFFECTING ANESTHESIA

Biological factors such as age, sex, body condition and weight, developmental stage, growth and physiological status, health, and reproductive condition, as well as abiotic factors such as water quality, temperature, and oxygenation affect the efficacy of fish anesthesia. In fish, body condition, water temperature, and physiological stress have been investigated to determine their precise effects on anesthesia.

Body Condition

Research investigations have focused on body weight because drug dosing is often relative to the weight of an animal. However, some experimental studies on fish conclude that there is no effect of weight on induction and recovery, whereas others have opposite findings (Fig 3). Larger body size in whitefish (Coregonus lavaretus) was found to be associated with decreased induction times; in contrast, larger-sized rainbow trout had longer induction times and there was no effect in Atlantic salmon or brown trout (Salmo trutta). Induction increased with greater body weight in Senegalese sole (Solea senegalensis) using isoeugenol, 2-phenoxyethanol, and metomidate, but not for MS-222. Yet, MS-222 and benzocaine action were affected by body weight in Atlantic cod; larger fish had longer induction and recovery times (Fig 3). Only recovery was affected by body size when using metomidate in Atlantic cod, whereas the characteristics of 2-phenoxyethanol anesthesia had no relationship with size. Thus, these agents appear to have species-specific differences in their action, and research into factors such as lipid solubility and lipid content of fish is needed to explore the mechanisms of these body weight relationships.
FIGURE 4. Mean (A) induction and (B) recovery time (s ± SE) in Atlantic halibut (*Hippoglossus hippoglossus*) anesthetized with benzocaine (BZ) and MS-222. Induction time is recorded in seconds and is defined as the time from submersion in the anesthesia bath to total loss of equilibrium. Recovery is defined as time from transfer to recovery bath to regain of equilibrium. Average body weight of the fish was 1243 g, exposure time was 5 minutes, and water temperature was 8°C or 15°C. Induction was significantly shorter at the higher temperature, but recovery was significantly longer (adapted from Zahl et al, 201191).

**Water Temperature**

Fish are poikilothermic and as such their physiology and metabolic rate are dependent on ambient water temperature. Studies have explored the impact water temperature has on the efficacy of anesthetic agents. Higher temperatures often reduce induction and recovery times. For example, isoeugenol in Atlantic salmon, brown trout, whitefish, perch (*Perca fluviatilis*), rainbow trout, and roach (*Rutilus rutilus*); benzocaine in striped bass (*Morone saxatilis*); 2-phenoxyethanol and isoeugenol in Atlantic cod, Atlantic halibut, European sea bass, and gilthead sea bream; and isoeugenol in rainbow trout have shorter
MS-222 anesthesia is faster at higher temperatures in a variety of freshwater and marine fish. However, there is not a consistent simple relationship with water temperature relative to induction and recovery. For example, induction was much quicker in halibut at higher temperatures but recovery was more prolonged (Fig 4). Caution should be applied in regards to the relationship between water temperature and anesthesia. Furthermore, rapid changes in water temperature may cause stress to fish and effect their metabolic rate, circulation, and uptake of the anesthetic agent(s).

**Physiological Stress**

Anesthesia is profoundly affected by stress in fish. Stress results in increased cardiovascular responses and gill blood flow, producing greater diffusion of immersion anesthetic agents. Therefore, it is vital that stress is minimized before and during the anesthetic event. As outlined above, many anesthetic drugs elicit hormonal stress responses as a side effect (Fig 5). Acute stress before anesthesia with MS-222 in Atlantic cod resulted in shorter induction time and prolonged recovery. A deeper plane of anesthesia was observed in these fish after an acute stressor such that the dose of MS-222 was reduced to avoid mortality. However, the benefits of using anesthetic agents during potentially stressful procedures to render the fish unconscious are important to minimize any negative impacts on their welfare. Several studies have shown that handling stress is profoundly reduced when fish are anesthetized. Cortisol is elevated during handling in Atlantic salmon, but when anesthetized with metomidate, cortisol release is prevented. Therefore, the physiological status of the fish should be evaluated before anesthetizing the animal so that one can determine the most appropriate agent and dose. Monitoring of heart rate during prolonged procedures is advisable, especially during invasive surgery because this physiologic parameter is a direct reflection of the fish’s level of anesthesia (Fig 6).

**ANALGESIA IN FISH**

In clinical and veterinary practice, analgesic drugs are administered to reduce pain and improve well-being, thereby promoting recovery of the patient. Analgesic protocols are available for a variety of animals, but these drugs are generally not administered to fish. Research on teleost fish
demonstrates that potentially painful events impair their normal behavior and may be indicative of discomfort.6-8,10,11,13,80,81 Prolonged changes in behavior have been observed, but relatively few studies have explored the use of analgesia to reduce these abnormal activities. The major classes of analgesic drugs are opioids, nonsteroidal anti-inflammatory drugs (NSAIDs), local anesthetics, and miscellaneous drugs that have pain-relieving properties (e.g., antidepressants). Only the first 3 classes have been explored as potential pain-relieving drugs in fish (Table 3).

FIGURE 6. An example of a laboratory set up where long-term anesthesia is maintained. Surgically anesthetized rainbow trout (Oncorhynchus mykiss) are placed in a purpose-built cradle, covered with wet tissues to prevent drying out of the skin, held in place with Velcro straps, and fitted with a purpose-built mouthpiece and tubing to supply temperature controlled, aerated water. Heart rate is monitored via electrodes placed under both pectoral fins to gauge level of anesthesia along with reflex responses such as no response to tail pinch. This is taken from a nonrecovery procedure (photo by Dr P. J. Ashley in the Sneddon Laboratory).

Opioids

Opioid drugs, typified by morphine, produce analgesia by acting on the 3 opioid receptors (mu, delta, and kappa) located on neuronal cell membranes. The presynaptic action of opioids inhibits neurotransmitter release, thereby blocking not only the activity of nociceptors but also centrally blocking transmission. Morphine administered to rainbow trout ameliorated the effects of a potentially painful stimulus; fish did not show a suspension in feeding and morphine reduced ventilatory responses and anomalous behaviors, which were exhibited by noxiously treated fish (Fig 2).13 Noxiously stimulated trout also do not show a neophobia to novel objects; however, morphine administration to noxiously treated fish resulted in normal fear responses during these tests.9 Therefore, it was concluded that morphine is an effective analgesic in rainbow trout. Morphine did not increase the temperature threshold in goldfish (Carassius auratus) in cases in which the fish performed an escape response to noxious heat but did ameliorate subsequent adverse changes in behavior of the fish in the tank after temperature threshold testing, which suggests morphine had a possible effect on reducing pain in these fish.12 Morphine behaves pharmacokinetically similar in fish compared with mammals; however, excretion rates are much slower (half-life of 37 hours and total elimination time of 56 hours) and morphine persists for a prolonged period
Studies have investigated reflex responses to electric shock using the opioids, tramadol, dermorphine, and β-casomorphin in cod, steelhead trout (Salmo mykiss), carp, and rainbow trout and have found a reduction in the magnitude of the response. 84,85 Butorphanol has been explored in the chain dogfish (Scyliorhinus rotifer) and koi carp as part of a combined anesthetic protocol; however, it appeared to have limited effectiveness. 61,86 Buprenorphine was found to have poor analgesic properties in rainbow trout. 14

### Nonsteroidal Anti-inflammatories

The NSAID class of drugs works by inhibiting arachidonate cyclooxygenase (COX) enzymes to reduce the production of thromboxanes and prostaglandins, providing antiinflammatory, antipyretic, and analgesic properties. 57,87 Very few NSAIDs have been evaluated with respect to analgesia in fish. Ketoprofen was used in the chain dogfish to determine the minimum...

### TABLE 3. The analgesic drugs tested in fish showing the range of doses tested, the species of fish that these were tested on, side effects including whether the analgesic improved pain-related changes, and a comment on efficiency as an analgesic

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Analgesic</th>
<th>Dose</th>
<th>Species</th>
<th>Side Effects</th>
<th>Efficacy</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Lidocaine</td>
<td>0.1-2 mg/kg</td>
<td>Trout (IM) Zebrafish (IM)</td>
<td>None observed</td>
<td>Very efficient at 1 mg/kg</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Morphine</td>
<td>5-50 mg/kg</td>
<td>Trout (IM) Flounder (IP) Goldfish (IM)</td>
<td>None observed</td>
<td>Very efficient at 5 mg/kg</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Buprenorphine</td>
<td>0.01-0.1 mg/kg</td>
<td>Trout (IM)</td>
<td>Reduced activity No impact on feeding or ventilation</td>
<td>Not efficient</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Carprofen</td>
<td>1-5 mg/kg</td>
<td>Trout (IM)</td>
<td>Depressed activity Increased ventilation</td>
<td>Reduced time to feed using 2.5 mg/kg</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Butorphanol</td>
<td>0.25-5 mg/kg</td>
<td>Koi carp (0.4; IM) Dogfish (IM)</td>
<td></td>
<td>Dogfish - not efficient Improved behavior in Koi</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ketoprofen</td>
<td>1-4 mg/kg</td>
<td>Koi carp (2; IM) Dogfish (IM)</td>
<td>No impact on behavior in Koi</td>
<td>Not efficient</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

anesthetic concentration of MS-222 to prevent a response to an acute noxious stimulus; however, this approach was not effective. Ketoprofen did reduce the indicators of muscle damage postsurgery in koi carp but did not ameliorate subsequent behavioral changes. Carprofen was investigated in rainbow trout that exhibited pain and the fish resumed feeding more quickly than fish with no analgesia. However, when a 5.0 mg/kg carprofen dose was administered to rainbow trout, the fish were found to have depressed activity, even in control fish, and as such may be a deleterious side effect (Table 3). NSAIDs require further investigation if they are to be recommended as a reliable, effective analgesic in fish. The chronic use of NSAID analgesic drugs in mammals, birds, and reptiles can result in gastric ulcers or renal disease, but these effects are currently unknown in fish.

Local Anesthetic Agents

Local anesthetic agents inhibit the propagation of action potentials by blocking sodium channels and by affecting membrane function. Therefore, local anesthetic drugs impede pain sensation by blocking nociceptive transmission. Relatively few studies have explored local anesthetic drugs; however, novocaine is known to reduce reflex responses in cod; this alone is not considered sufficient evidence to recommend its use in vivo. Lidocaine has been explored in rainbow trout with substantial success (Table 3). Lidocaine at a dose of 1 mg/kg was effective in reducing all of the adverse behavioral and physiological responses to pain in this species. More research is necessary to test the wide range of local anesthetic agents on a range of fish species to construct reliable analgesic protocols.

CONCLUSION

A variety of anesthetic drugs have been investigated for their properties to provide effective anesthesia of fish. However, given the significant diversity of fish species and their associated environmental and physiological requirements, it would be prudent to apply caution when selecting an anesthetic agent. Tentative exploration of the correct dose is vital because many factors influence anesthetic action including body size, water temperature, and physiological status. Combination anesthesia requires more attention given the positive findings from a number of studies that demonstrate reduced mortality rates and lower doses, which also appears to result in reduced side effects and better recovery. Humane treatment of fish subject to tissue-damaging, invasive procedures demands that any pain and discomfort are reduced by the use of an analgesic agent. However, the development of robust, valid analgesic protocols requires further study given the limited number of scientific studies. Currently, only morphine and lidocaine can be recommended in one species, the rainbow trout. Therefore, analgesic drugs need to be investigated in a range of species to determine their applicability in a variety of patient conditions; this will also require the development of pain indicators specific to the pain type and fish species.
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