Federal Government Assaults Animals on Wildlife Refuges
On June 17, 1983, just as Americans across the United States were beginning to turn their thoughts to pleasant-weather outdoor recreation, The HSUS gave formal notice that it will sue the U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service (FWS) for violating the Endangered Species Act and the laws governing the National Wildlife Refuge System at Florida’s Loxahatchee National Wildlife Refuge.

If the FWS carries out its intention, one of the few remaining slivers of the fragile Everglades that have stayed relatively pristine and untouched, Loxahatchee National Wildlife Refuge, will be overrun by sport hunters searching for “trophy” deer antlers for their fireplace mantels. Over the course of three weekends, hunters as young as sixteen will be zipping (some in airboats) through this delicate swamp in the noisy, violent quest of an animal which has not learned to fear the high-powered rifle, the primitive musket, or the deadly tip of an arrow.

Why should Loxahatchee deer be wary of hunters? After all, they have never been hunted. They live on a wildlife refuge—the one place supposedly set aside by the federal government for wildlife.

But the opening of Loxahatchee to deer hunting is just another slip on the downward spiral leading to negation of the only system of federal lands established for the protection of the nation’s wildlife. Arrogant in its use of this particular refuge for “recreational” or “sport” hunting, the FWS has not even bothered to offer its stock excuse, “population control,” to legitimize the hunt on Loxahatchee. At least, up until now, the federal government has tried to present its activities on refuges as being “compatible” with the refuge purpose of preserving, protecting, and enhancing wildlife in its environment. But now, the FWS has even given up its flimsy rationalizations, and is blatantly planning to throw over the ideal of protecting wildlife in favor of protecting hunters’ opportunity to kill the animals.

The welfare of America’s wildlife and refuges is being sold for economic gain and recreational pleasure to hunters, trappers, and commercial developers. To date, there are 414 refuges composed of over 86 million acres stretching from the Arctic to the Florida Keys and from Maine to American Samoa. Almost all of these refuges have been touched in some way by natural gas exploration, predator control, pesticides, and commercial farming, ranching, and lumber industries. Over one half of all refuges are open to either hunting or trapping… or both.

All laws and regulations concerning activities on wildlife refuges stipulate (continued on next page)
Refuge Trapping and Hunting: Wildlife-Oriented Enjoyment for Whom?

The eagle spotted fresh rabbit meat dangling from a tree. Beetling its wings to keep it airborne, it tugged at the rabbit in vain, finally dropping to the ground directly below the taut line. Slam! The bird is caught in a trap set for bobcat. There it will stay until the trapping season is over. So healthy and free moments before, the eagle is now doomed to death from either starvation or a blunt blow from the heel of a boot.

Never in its life has the deer heard a gun. Hearing the whirr of an arrow cutting through the air. Hearing it now, it drops suddenly to the ground. The deer sees its tail as it drops and then sees the arrowhumming and probably after eating ducks and other animals, it drops suddenly to the ground. The deer sees its tail as it drops and then sees the arrowhumming and the deer knows that its life is over.

What----…a trap? The disinterested trapper who was hoping for a coyote or bobcat would. This particular deer was accidentally caught in a trap on non-refuge lands. Similar traps planted in many refuges throughout the United States also main animals unfortunate enough to step into their brutal jaws.

Watt, has thrown open the gates of refuges to industrial exploiters, trappers, and hunters. The struggle of the refuge system is the proverbial case of the fox guarding the chicken coop. The Secretary of the Interior decides what the “compatible” uses occur on wildlife refuges, provided, of course, the proposed activities are “compatible” with the original idea. Conveniently enough, however, the Secretary of the Interior also decides what is “compatible” mean. This power, in the hands of Interior Secretary James Watt, has thrown open the gates of refuges to commercial exploiters, trappers, and hunters.

For each violation the refuge suffers, the cost to wildlife and the environment is exorbitant. The air, water, and plant life are poisoned by pesticides, fuel oil, spills, and even lead from spent bullets (recent studies show that the endangered bald eagle has been dying from lead poisoning, probably after eating ducks which have been shot). Noise from off-road vehicles (such as jeeps, snowmobiles, and airboats); drilling machinery, gunshots, trappers and hunters, and mining equipment frighten and drive away birds and other animals, including endangered or threatened species, from the very land which should shelter them to their survival.

The bird is caught in a trap set for bobcat. There it will stay until the trapping season is over. So healthy and free moments before, the eagle is now doomed to death from either starvation or a blunt blow from the heel of a boot.

In 1982, over 386,329 animals were killed by hunters on this nation’s wildlife refuges. This figure includes 219 swans and 227,576 ducks. Among the 85,473 mammals blotted out of existence there were bobcats, mountain goats, and majestic tigers. Tiny Sika deer. Incredibly, approximately 12,000 animals were crippled and left to die from their injuries, exposure, or starvation. And from 1980 to 1983, over half a million animals were trapped, mostly with the crushing leghold trap, which often causes animals to wring and chew their own legs off. To think this carnage takes place on land set aside by the American people as refuge!

Ironically, this continuing and increasing destruction of animals on refuges is justified with euphemisms like “wise management,” “sport and recreation,” or “utilization of a renewable resource.” The audacity of those terms is appalling. Refuges were created to protect wildlife, all wildlife. Yet, destruction prevails. Recreation and sport for the animals? Of course not! For people. Resource utilization for animals? No! For people.

So-called wise management is management which allows the “population” to survive, while people kill the individuals for which refuges are supposed to be home. Such refuges are not refuges at all; as such, they are a national disgrace.

The plight of the refuge system is the proverbial case of the fox guarding the chicken coop. The Secretary of the Interior decides what the “compatible” uses occur on wildlife refuges, provided, of course, the proposed activities are “compatible” with the original idea. Conveniently enough, however, the Secretary of the Interior also decides what is “compatible” means. This power, in the hands of Interior Secretary James Watt, has thrown open the gates of refuges to commercial exploiters, trappers, and hunters.

For each violation the refuge suffers, the cost to wildlife and the environment is exorbitant. The air, water, and plant life are poisoned by pesticides, fuel oil, spills, and even lead from spent bullets (recent studies show that the endangered bald eagle has been dying from lead poisoning, probably after eating ducks which have been shot). Noise from off-road vehicles (such as jeeps, snowmobiles, and airboats); drilling machinery, gunshots, trappers and hunters, and mining equipment frighten and drive away birds and other animals, including endangered or threatened species, from the very land which should shelter them to their survival.
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Ironically, this continuing and increasing destruction of animals on refuges is justified with euphemisms like “wise management,” “sport and recreation,” or “utilization of a renewable resource.” The audacity of those terms is appalling. Refuges were created to protect wildlife, all wildlife. Yet, destruction prevails. Recreation and sport for the animals? Of course not! For people. Resource utilization for animals? No! For people.

So-called wise management is management which allows the “population” to survive, while people kill the individuals for which refuges are supposed to be home. Such refuges are not refuges at all; as such, they are a national disgrace.

The first national wildlife refuge was established by Theodore Roosevelt in 1903 when he realized that pelicans, herons, egrets, and other species are exposed to the demand for fancy hats were rapidly becoming extinct. The principles of preservation and protection were born with Pelican Island but they soon became merely “paper policies.”

Beginning in 1949, the federal government began opening refuges to hunting and trapping. Over the years more refuges have been opened, but in recent years have been ballooning. In the last few years, fourteen refuges have been opened to trapping. From 1981 to 1983, thirty-five refuges were opened to the hunting of one or more animals. An as yet undetermined number of refuges have been protected in our nation’s wildlife refuges!

Every year The HSUS Mid-Atlantic Regional Office demonstrates against sport hunting on the Great Swamp Wildlife Refuge in New Jersey. Between 30 and 125 people take time off from work and school to appear in December weather and make a stand against this cruel practice.

In recognition of the refuge system’s need for protection from commercial exploiters, trappers, and hunters, The HSUS…

• Will sue the Fish and Wildlife Service to stop the Loxahatchee hunt.

• Is preparing legal and legislative action to stop the wasteful, inhumane, and unnecessary destruction of wildlife on all refuges. In short, we are committed to making refuges true wildlife refuges!

• Is conducting a major study on the treatment of and conditions affecting wildlife on refuges, concentrating especially on trapping and hunting, so that we may most effectively publicize destruction and achieve wildlife protection.

• Is seeking necessary funding to conduct this major program of ensuring the safety of refuge wildlife.
the expense of wildlife. This situation will only worsen in the future, as hunters, trappers, and other destructive users clamor for more openings, confident the Interior Department will listen sympathetically.

Two directives from the Department of Interior encapsule in a grim nutshell the official attitude at FWS headquarters in Washington:

...The Service recognizes hunting [on refuges] as an acceptable and legitimate form of wildlife-oriented recreation.... Also, the listing of harvestable species should be expanded to coincide with State regulations where feasible....

... We believe that there is potential to expand economic uses in such areas as grazing, haying, farming, timber harvest, trapping, oil and gas extraction, small hydro-electric generation, concessions, commercial hunting and fishing guides, guided interpretive tours, and commercial fishing. We believe that if you use innovation and creativity, and, if necessary, a redirection of efforts, these as well as other uses can be expanded....

The "inviolate sanctuary" ideal is in tatters. What was once a haven for wildlife has become a death trap. And the refuge system is being used as a pitiful political pawn, being shoved about at the whim of the Secretary of the Interior and his associates.

Much damage has been done to the once majestic wildlife refuges of the United States, refuges which may never fully recuperate. But it is not too late to put an end to the shameful exploitation of what remains. That's why The HSUS is fighting the decision to open Loxahatchee. That's why the FWS will face us in court if it insists on opening this refuge to deer hunters.

This is the first time in the history of refuges that there has been an active and orchestrated push from the federal government to allow hunting and trapping on refuges. In response to this and other atrocities to wildlife, The HSUS has expanded its wildlife protection program and has launched a major campaign to protect these victims of greed and cruelty. The term "refuge," according to the Webster's New Collegiate Dictionary, means "shelter or protection from danger or distress." It's time for the government to cease permitting the brutal destruction of wildlife, and reaffirm these refuges as places for sanctuary.

WHAT YOU CAN DO

You can help protect wildlife on refuges by letting your U.S. congressmen and representatives know that you want refuges to be true sanctuaries for wildlife and that you want cruel wildlife exploitation banned. Also, please write letters to Congressman Morris Udall (D-AZ) and Senator John Chafee (R-RI) asking them to hold congressional hearings aimed at stopping abuse of wildlife on refuges.

In a separate letter, urge your congressmen to support H.R. 1797, a bill before the House of Representatives "to end the use of steel-jaw, leghold traps on animals in the United States and abroad."

You can reach senators and representatives at the following addresses:

The Honorable (Senator's name)
The U.S. Senate
Washington, DC 20510

The Honorable (Congressman's name)
The House of Representatives
Washington, DC 20515

Finally, help The HSUS in our fight to protect refuge animals and other wildlife from falling victim to inhumane destruction. Your tax-deductible contribution will help us continue our legislative, legal, and educational battles to protect not only these animals but all animals from painful and untimely deaths. You are the only voice these animals have. Please use the enclosed postage-paid envelope to send your contribution today.

The Humane Society of the United States
2100 L Street, NW, Washington, DC 20037 (202) 452-1100
Additional copies of this report are available upon request at $1 each. Payment must accompany order.
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