sent a self-sustaining population.

The Jersey Trust is doing everything possible to create extensions to its conservation breeding programs. To date, cooperative agreements involving breeding loans of the following species have been entered into with the corresponding organizations:

- **Jamaican hutia**: Frankfurt Zoo and West Berlin Zoo, Federal Republic of Germany; London Zoo, UK; Philadelphia Zoo, USA.
- **Lowland gorilla**: Twycross Zoo, UK.
- **Meller's duck**: The Wildfowl Trust, UK.
- **Pigmy hog**: Cauhati Zoo, Assam; Zurich Zoo, Switzerland.
- **Spectacled bear**: Chicago Zoo (Brookfield) and National Zoo, Washington, USA; Moscow Zoo, USSR; Zurich Zoo, Switzerland.
- **Wallrapp ibis**: Altreu National Park, Switzerland; Helsinki Zoo, Finland; Norfolk Wildlife Park, UK; Philadelphia Zoo, USA.
- **White-eared pheasant**: Antwerp Zoo, Belgium; Cleres, France; The Pheasant Trust, UK; The Seattle Zoo, USA.

At present, the Trust has over eighty animals belonging to ten species on breeding loan to eighteen different collections and is seeking to include species such as the Guenther's gecko, the Telfair's skink and the Jamaican boa in other breeding agreements. Animals are sent on loan to other organizations without any financial transaction, for it is considered that the disposal of endangered species for specific conservation breeding programs should in no way be hand-capped by financial factors. In all cases, the chief priority must be to place the animals as advantageously as possible for the entire species.

In November 1976, those of us responsible for the welfare of gorillas in the British Isles and Ireland staged a meeting, the first of its kind, to organize for the benefit of the long-term management and breeding of the then fifty-seven gorillas in our twelve collections. This meeting developed into what is now known as the Anthropoid Ape Advisory Panel which has subsequently held two meetings. Panel members and their respective fields of expertise include: Mr. Roger Wheater, Director of Edinburgh Zoo (Chairman of the Coordinating Committee); Mr. Geoffrey Greed, Director of Bristol Zoo (orangutans); Miss Molly Badham, Director of Twycross Zoo (chimpanzees and gibbons); and Dr. Jeremy Mallinson, Zoological Director of the Jersey Trust (gorillas). National studbooks have been published, and scientific advisors appointed. We are optimistic that this sort of national cooperation and coordination will aid these species in the long term. Likewise, the Conservation and Breeding subcommittee of the British Zoo Federation, under the chairmanship of Dr. Janet Kear, does as much as possible to promote and guide the animal breeding programs carried out in British zoos.

As the general public becomes more aware of the need to conserve wildlife, zoos will be judged more and more on their 'track record' for contributing to the welfare of the animals represented in their collections. I believe that the future of animals in zoos will depend increasingly on national and international cooperation and coordination. Much of this will, in turn, depend on the integrity and good will of the people in charge of the collections concerned. In the final analysis, real success will come only if zoo directors, conservationists, academics and those genuinely interested in the welfare of the animal kingdom cooperate wholeheartedly with others working in their respective fields.

---

**COMPANION ANIMALS**

**Barn Cat Care**

Barn cats are often thought to represent the pinnacle of domestic feline independence, but in truth, the barn cat fares no better than any other domestic cat in coping with hunger, disease and physical injury. The domestication process has created an animal which, while retaining many wild characteristics, cannot be considered truly self-sufficient. Inadequate shelter, mice in short supply, farm accidents and increased susceptibility to disease contribute to the high mortality rate among barn cats. The public may also compound the problem by foisting unwanted kittens on farmers who neither need them nor have the resources to care for them.

The Humane Society of Harford County, Maryland recently published an article which contained some suggestions to farmers and the general public for upgrading the care of barn cats (J. Townsend, Humane News, Fall 1979, p.4):

1. Supplement the cats' diet with commercial cat food. Hungry cats do not hunt any more intensively (and thus do not make better mousers) than well-fed cats.
2. Alter barn cats. No firm correla-

---

**LABORATORY ANIMALS**

**Alternative Carcinogen Detection**

The Felix-Wankel Awards are given for research in animal welfare or in the development of alternatives to laboratory animals (See Int J Stud Anim Prob 1:63, 1980). Professor Hans Marquardt (University of Hamburg) and Professor Bruce Ames (University of California, Berkeley) received awards in 1977 for their research into the development of in vitro tests for detecting potential carcinogens. Professor Marquardt's work employs mammalian cell culture systems, while Professor Ames has concentrated on using a bacterial (Salmonella) test, now commonly known as the Ames test.

Much of the impetus for developing short-term in vitro carcinogenicity screening procedures stems from consumer and regulatory pressures to test all chemicals which are currently in widespread use or which are about to be introduced into the environ-
ment. The generally accepted method for chemical carcinogenicity testing is a time-consuming (about 3 years) and costly ($350,000 +) process using 400-800 animals, usually rats and/or mice. The Environmental Protection Agency lists over 40,000 chemicals in current widespread use. This list is growing at a rate of 500,000 per annum. Only 3,500 chemicals have been adequately tested in animal assays, and with the presently available resources, only 500 chemicals could be assayed in this manner each year (T.H. Maugh, Science 201:1200-1205, 1978).

If consumer demands are to be met, quicker assays must be designed. Hence the interest in the development of tests using cell systems. However, this interest in alternative systems could also be a boon to animal welfare. As Professor Marquardt himself has argued in discussing the need for in vitro assays, “Any extension of animal testing on such a wide scale [to cover all environmental chemicals] would be vigorously opposed by animal welfare lobbies...Animals will benefit from the development of such alternative ways to the use of laboratory animals in carcinogenesis studies: the use of, and the pain and suffering to, live animals will be minimized.” (H. Marquardt, Staub-Reinhalt Luft 38:259, 1978).

The Ames test, which employs Salmonella typhimurium bacteria, is based on the observation that many carcinogens also cause mutations. Thus, the end point in the Ames test is the detection of an increased number of mutant bacteria colonies on the petri plate, the hypothesis being that noncarcinogenic will not cause bacterial mutagenesis while carcinogens will. Other factors have been added to the test to improve its reliability. Bacterial permeability has been increased so that the chemical will actually reach the genetic material by using Salmonella strains with defective cell walls. Some measure can be made of the probable metabolism in vitro of the test chemicals by incorporating mammalian liver extracts in the agar which is added to the petri plate. A plasmid (an extra piece of DNA) has also been incorporated into several strains which, for an as yet incompletely explained reason, increases their sensitivity to mutational events.

The Ames test has proven remarkably successful in detecting known carcinogens although false negatives (i.e., known carcinogens which are not mutagens) still present a problem. When used to test chemicals whose carcinogenicity or noncarcinogenicity has already been determined by animal assays, the Ames test has a success rate of approximately 90%.

Certain mammalian cell transformation systems also have an impressive record of success. According to Professor Marquardt, the mammalian cell systems are “perhaps the most promising new systems for the bioassay of chemical carcinogens and for the analysis of their mechanisms...” While the in vitro transformation system is perhaps the closest that one can come to an in vivo induction of a carcinogenic event, it is not necessarily the case that morphological transformation of the cells is equivalent to malignant transformation (tumorigenicity) in animals. For this reason, morphologically transformed cells are periodically injected into live animals to confirm that they indeed cause tumors. In his own work, Professor Marquardt uses a cloned line of C3H mouse fibroblasts (M2) which are susceptible to malignant transformation by chemicals, characterized by a marked change in their morphology. Professor Marquardt’s research has focused on questions relating to the mechanism of carcinogenesis and the microsomal metabolism of carcinogenic chemicals. However, his results indicate that the cell test is in substantial agreement with animal tests, and he refers to other studies in which agreement exceeded 91%.

In December, 1979, the National Institute for Environmental Health Sciences announced the results of an international evaluation of five short-term tests (among them the Ames test and a mammalian transformation test) involving 60 laboratories and 42 chemicals, including 15 carcinogens paired with chemically similar noncarcinogens. The Ames test stood up quite well, but no single assay or battery of assays was shown to be best for carcinogenicity screening. However, these interim results were encouraging support for the prediction made by Peter Hutt, former Chief Counsel for the Food and Drug Administration, that “it is likely, indeed, that in time they [in vitro tests] will be perfected to a point where they are able to mimic human response far more accurately than animal testing” (Food Drug Cosmetic Law J 33:576, 1978).

FARM ANIMALS

EEC Investigates Hen Batteries

The European Economic Community (EEC) is feeling the effects of a decision on keeping hens in battery cages. The Court ruled in 1979 that battery caging prevents the hens from expressing normal behavior patterns and therefore may in future be punishable under a section of the German Animal Protection Law (See Bulletin of the Institute for the Study of Animal Problems 17:4, 1979). Pressure in the Federal Republic of Germany from both the animal welfare lobby and poultry farmers currently using batteries prompted the government to request a ban of battery cages for laying hens throughout the Common Market.

The European Agricultural Ministers responded by setting up a working party to conduct a general survey of egg production methods, to present a proposal for transitional regulations in the move toward a total ban on batteries in the EEC, and to promote sound alternative production systems. September 1981 was the original due date for the working party’s report, but the deadline was moved forward to September 1980 at the urging of German Agricultural Minister Josef Ertl.

According to the British publication Ag (No. 56, November 1979), the National Farmers Union is concerned about this turn of events, fearing that the new deadline will force an inadequate analysis of the issue. However, the UK, along with Denmark and The Netherlands, has registered its support for speeding up the enquiry.

Oregon Disease—A Possible Welfare Solution

Ishchaemic necrosis of the breast muscle of adult turkeys, broilers and also spent turkey layers (“Oregon disease”) results in considerable economic loss, both in North America and in the UK. Hitherto, no practical method to prevent this disease has been found. However, P.A.I. Wight, L. Martindale and W.F. Siller (Vet Rec 105:470-471, 1979) have presented extremely promising data which demonstrate that the incidence of Oregon disease can be significantly reduced by modifications in husbandry.

Rearing birds in a quiet environment with familiar, gentle handlers, and subjecting them to minimal disturbances resulted in an 0.68% occurrence of the disease, as compared to approximately 16.2% under commercial conditions. The authors also suggest that tranquilization prior to certain procedures might repay further investigation.