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counting
In the 20 years that I've been collecting data on shelter demographics, the animal welfare field has witnessed dramatic declines in the number of unwanted pets. By now most shelter workers have seen the statistics: 13.5 million dogs and cats, or about 22 percent of those in U.S. homes, were euthanized in shelters in 1973, compared with 3 to 4 million—or less than 3 percent of the nation's household pet population—today.

But despite the success in measuring the effectiveness of the '70s-era “LES” (legislation, education, sterilization) approach to addressing animal homelessness, attempts to gather other basic data have lagged far behind. I continue to be amazed that no one (not even the National Council on Pet Population Study and Policy) has developed an accurate count of the number of shelters in this country. To date, we still rely on the flawed lists kept by American Humane and my own organization, The Humane Society of the United States.

About three years ago, former HSUS Vice President Martha Armstrong and I tasked two graduates of Tufts University’s Center for Animals and Public Policy—Colin Berry and Bryn Conklin—with developing a comprehensive shelter list. Thanks to their hard work, The HSUS now has a nationwide list of animal organizations, including shelters.
By collecting information from tax forms posted on GuideStar.org, Berry and Conklin also compiled financial data on individual nonprofits and summarized it by state. These figures were then divided by state population totals to arrive at a per-capita donation figure. The resulting figures help us assess the level of support for animal protection in each state.

The benchmarks presented in the tables on the following pages are intended to serve only as a guide for further study and debate. While we’ve attempted to gather as much information as possible, a few precautions should be noted when interpreting the results. First, not all duplicates have been found and removed in current state lists. Second, existing organizations have undoubtedly been omitted, albeit inadvertently, and there may be some errors in classification of shelters and non-sheltered groups (such as fostering organizations that operate out of homes). Third, the financial information on GuideStar is incomplete, either because an organization is not yet listed (as is the case even with some of the larger groups) or because it does not make enough money in a year to file “Form 990” with the IRS. (Form 990 is an annual reporting return filed by most federally tax-exempt organizations that earn more than $25,000 in annual income.) Fourth, municipal shelters do not normally report their income and expenses on 990s, so we have financial information for only a few of these entities. Since municipal entities account for almost 45 percent of all shelters, we are missing data on a substantial amount of income devoted to sheltering dogs and cats.

Despite these caveats, I believe it is important to publish the data if only to serve as a baseline for further refinement. Useful benchmarks can help advance the shelter profession while also highlighting those states and operations that are most in need of help.

The Head Count, State by State
Table 1 on page 38 breaks down by state the number of people, animal groups, and shelters, both public and private. Of the 9,512 animal organizations accounted for, 3,353 are shelters, including 1,554 municipal facilities and 1,809 private entities that may or may not be involved in animal control. Financial information listed in this table may help shed some light on local and regional funding situations. When income of national organizations is excluded, the average donation per capita for the country as a whole is $3.48 per year. That figure climbs to $4.33 per year when the revenue of national organizations is accounted for. The amount varies considerably from one state to the next, however, and can be affected by geography. For instance, animal organizations in Washington, D.C., appear to raise more than $18 per capita. But because of the cohesion of the Washington metropolitan region and the loyalties many suburbanites feel toward the nation’s capital, Washington’s per-capita figure most likely includes donations from Marylanders and Virginians to the Washington Humane Society and the Washington Animal Rescue League, two D.C. shelters included in the survey.

Of the 50 states, the most successful in terms of donations per capita are Massachusetts ($9.06), Vermont ($7.28), Colorado ($6.10), and Montana ($5.90). When income from national organizations is included, New York, Utah, and Virginia also climb the list of top donation-getters. When those incomes are excluded, the latter three states fall down closer to the national average. States with animal welfare-related donations falling below $2 per capita include Alabama ($1.48), Arkansas ($1.56), Georgia ($1.83), Idaho ($1.26), Kentucky ($1.55), Louisiana ($1.39), Mississippi ($1.13),...
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Accounting for Revenue of National Organizations

Income generated by national organizations was excluded from Table 1. A combined $252,072,483 in revenue was generated by the following organizations in 2004: American Humane, the American Anti-Vivisection Society, the American Society for the Prevention of Cruelty to Animals, Best Friends, the Foundation for Animal Protection, Friends of Animals, The Humane Society of the United States, the International Fund for Animal Welfare, the National Humane Education Society, North Shore Animal League America, PETA, and the World Society for the Protection of Animals.

When this income is added to total and per-capita income figures in Table 1, the total amount donated to local and national animal welfare organizations in this country climbs to $1,284,537,283, or $4.33 per person.
Nevada ($1.18), North Carolina ($1.81), North Dakota ($1.84), Oklahoma ($1.94), South Dakota ($1.75), and Tennessee ($1.67).

Those familiar with shelter work probably won’t be surprised by the list of low-income states. It has long been recognized that some southern states have few shelters—and that many of those shelters are poorly supported. What is not clear is whether the lack of support is a function of culture or of a lack of opportunity. For example, the Louisiana SPCA and other New Orleans animal organizations were raising more than $4 per capita—higher than the national average—from the population of New Orleans and its surroundings even before Hurricane Katrina. Low funding for animal protection in parts of the South and Southwest may simply arise from a scarcity of groups accepting donations rather than hostility toward animal protection. The northern plains states and northern Rocky Mountain states are also in the bottom of the pack in terms of per-capita donations—with the exceptions of Montana ($5.90) and Wyoming ($4.70)—indicating that state culture probably has less to do with the rankings than the effectiveness and presence of local shelters.

The last column in Table 1 reports on the number of shelters per 100,000 residents. The average is 1.13 shelters, but some states—including Arkansas (3.49), Maine (3.86), Montana (3.21), Vermont (7.54), and Wyoming (5.50)—are well above the national average. Interestingly, Maine, Montana, Vermont, and Wyoming also rank high in per-capita donations. Perhaps the high density of shelters in these states also maximizes the potential donation income.

Using the benchmarking information presented in Table 1, individual organizations can assess their fundraising success and judge which states are doing well and which are doing poorly in terms of public support. Because local and
THE MONEY TRAIL BY DECADE

Since 1950, the number of animal welfare organizations has grown from about 100 to more than 3,000, as shown in Table 3. The majority of shelters have been established in the last 30 years; in the early 1960s, then-HSUS president Robert Chenoweth estimated the number of shelters in the country at 600. An examination of all entities currently classified as D20 (animal protection groups) in the IRS database shows that the vast majority of animal organizations (74%) were founded after 1990. While it is probable that the founding dates of shelters are not quite as skewed toward the recent past, it is likely that at least half the shelters in existence today were founded after 1980.

Table 3: Number and Size of Organization According to Decade of Founding*

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Approximate Decade Founded</th>
<th># of Nonprofits</th>
<th>% of Total Nonprofits</th>
<th># Filing 990 (% filing)</th>
<th>Current Total Annual Income ($millions)**</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Before 1950</td>
<td>109</td>
<td>1.9</td>
<td>104 (95)</td>
<td>701.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1950s</td>
<td>113</td>
<td>1.9</td>
<td>108 (96)</td>
<td>343.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1960s</td>
<td>194</td>
<td>3.3</td>
<td>188 (97)</td>
<td>190.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1970s</td>
<td>469</td>
<td>8.0</td>
<td>423 (90)</td>
<td>234.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1980s</td>
<td>596</td>
<td>10.2</td>
<td>521 (79)</td>
<td>161.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1990s</td>
<td>1,803</td>
<td>30.7</td>
<td>1,074 (60)</td>
<td>276.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2000s</td>
<td>2,564</td>
<td>43.7</td>
<td>726 (28)</td>
<td>46.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Unknown</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>0.3</td>
<td>17 (94)</td>
<td>7.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TOTAL</td>
<td>5,866</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>3,161 (54)</td>
<td>1,961.5</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* Figures for this table were pulled from the IRS database and include animal NGOs (D20TEEC Classification) categorized by their IRS ruling dates, which are approximately the same as founding dates.

** The numbers in this column represent the current annual income for private nonprofit organizations founded in each decade. For example, the current annual income for organizations founded in the 1980s is $161.2 million. The figures exclude municipal agencies because they do not typically file 990 forms with the IRS.

national private animal welfare organizations whose income is reported via GuideStar raise an average of $4.33 per person, a community of 100,000 people should be donating about $433,000 per year to animal causes just to keep up with the national average. This figure would no doubt be affected by the median household income in a given community as well as by community traditions and support for animal protection over the years. Competition from other animal protection groups in the area might also reduce an organization’s income. Nonetheless, the $4.33 per capita figure provides a useful baseline for shelter managers to examine their performance in raising funds.

The Cost of Animal Control?

Finally, an analysis of information gathered by the Society of Animal Welfare Administrators (SAWA) adds some further questions for shelter directors. Not all the data was usable; the following analysis is based on reports submitted by 47 private entities, 14 municipal animal control entities, and 18 pri-

The sample size is small, and those who submit data to the SAWA annual report are no doubt heavily self-selected. Nonetheless, these numbers call into question the trend for private sheltering organizations to jettison animal control in their communities.
vate entities with animal control contracts. The 47 private entities raised an average of $2.30 per person in their communities and brought in a further $1.02 in service fees and retail operations. The range was wide: the most successful organization raised $6.45 per person, while 13 of the shelters raised less than $1.50 per person. In terms of service fees and retail operations, it appears that anything above $2 per person in the community represents a very healthy program. An approximate breakdown of income showed that about 20 percent came from fees for service (adoption and veterinary programs), 16 percent from planned giving, 12 percent from direct mail, 10 percent from events, 5 percent from retail, 5 percent from interest, 4 percent from grants, and 28 percent from miscellaneous sources.

The 14 animal control agencies received about $4.79 per person in local government funding. By contrast, the 18 private entities with animal control contracts received only $2.60 per person from local government, indicating that private entities with these arrangements are subsidizing animal control. By the same token, however, those entities raised an average of $4.16 per person and brought in an additional $1.41 in service fees and retail income—for a total income of $8.17 per person. This is more than double the income of the 47 private entities without animal control contracts. In other words, there may be real compensations for taking on animal control (although of course the benefits would have to be weighed against the costs of providing the services). While agencies may end up subsidizing municipalities, it is possible that the visibility leads to a significant increase in public donations. The sample size is small, and those who submit data to the SAWA annual report are no doubt heavily self-selected. Nonetheless, these numbers call into question the trend for private sheltering organizations to jettison animal control in their communities.

The data presented here is not by any means watertight, but perhaps this article will prompt others to do a more rigorous job of benchmarking.
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